Application No. Applicant(s) 09/970,130 NGUYEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2624 Lucas Divine All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lucas Divine. (3) Susan L. Mizer. (2) King Poon. (4) John X. Garred & Mike Yeung. Date of Interview: 28 July 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 5. Identification of prior art discussed: Brossman et al. (US 6266150). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants requested further explaination of limitations regarding the identifiers and issuing notifications via controller & identifiers.

Examiner explained Brossman teaches a wrapper (controller) that accepts job/device status notifications (step 590) and transmits them to the virtual printer (monitoring untility). In this process, it is suggested by the figures (Figs. 2 and 3) that the notifications go through the same process that print jobs go through, only in reverse - therefore starting in device-specific format and being converted to a general format (see wrapper functions in Fig. 3).

Applicant stated that the key difference in the application and the reference were the specifics of what the identifiers were and how they are used to issue the notification.

Since Brossman does not go into very specific detail in the internal operations of the wrapper or into the issuance of notifications, it has been suggested that more specific identifier/notification claim language be introduced to possibly overcome the previous rejections.

Docket No.: 66329/14562

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Tradamark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applican	t Initiated Intervie	w Request	Form				
Application No.:	09/970,130 Lucas Divine	First Named Applicant: True NG Art Unit: 2624 Status of Application:						
	L. Mizer	(2)John X(4)		<u> </u>				
Proposed Date of Interview: Proposed Time: AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES NO								
If yes, provide brie	ef description:	Issues To Be Disc						
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1)_5102(e)	1,4,5	Art Brossman	[]	[]	[]			
(2) \$103(a)	2	Brossman/Nguyen	[]	[]	[]			
(3) \$103 (a)	3	Brossman/Nguyen/Sylon	1]	[]	[]			
(4)] Continuation Sh	eet Attached		[].	[]	[]			
thereover. An interview was convered to the state of the	onize unders interpretati onducted on the a could be completed	Presented: tanding of Exam: on of cited art bove-identified applicant by applicant and submitte issue because of applicant d to file a statement of the	ion ond to the examin	as distin	of the interview			
Typed/Printed Name	n L. Mizer	epresentative	Exami	ner/SPE Signa	iture			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially be governed by J5 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending apon the individual case. Any camments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestion for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradomark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



1150 Huntington Bldg. 925 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1475

phone 216.592.5000 fax 216.592.5009 Web: www.tuckerellis.com

CLEVELAND LOS ANGELES SAN FRANCISCO

ORIGINAL WILL NOT BE SENT

To: Commissioner for Patents		COMPANY:		FAX No:		
		USPTO			(571) 273-7432	
·						
FROM:	Susan Mizer		DATE:	7/20/2005	TIME:	
Direct Dial:	216.696.3466		E-Mail:	susan.mize	@tuckerellis.com	
TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES: 2	<u>, </u>	CLIENT/	MATTER:	66329/14562	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this fax transmission and the documents accompanying it contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individuals or entities named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.